UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,469	06/09/2005	Anivaldo da Cruz Grossi	05-440	3687
Bachman & Lal	7590 02/11/200 Pointe	EXAMINER		
Suite 1201	aat	KURTZ, BENJAMIN M		
900 Chapel Stre New Haven, CT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/538,469	GROSSI, ANIVALDO DA CRUZ	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BENJAMIN KURTZ	1797	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	awn from consideration. /or election requirement.		
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 June 2005</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to th Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 2 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 2 recites the net is made of any other oilphylic material, which is a broader limitation than that of claim 1 and does not further limit the subject matter of claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the longitudinal portions" in lines 8-9 and "the corners of the frame" in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The claims do not positively recite a shape or form of the frame. For examination purposes the longitudinal portions and corners of the frame are assumed to be the edges of the frame.

Art Unit: 1797

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the transverse portions". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The claims do not positively recite a shape or form of the frame. For examination purposes the longitudinal portions and corners of the frame are assumed to be the edges of the frame.

Claim 2 is rejected as depending from claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. <u>Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rink</u> et al. US 5 863 440 in view of Brinkley US 5 403 478.

Regarding claim 1, Rink teaches a medium and collecting net comprising: a net of polypropylene strands (200, 210) forming a cross-linked area, a frame (240, 250) of polypropylene strip attached in the whole periphery of the net with floating elements (110) and handle devices (220, 225) attached to the frame (fig. 2, 5, col. 6, lines 51-55, col. 7, lines 49-51). Rink teaches floating elements along the outer edges of the frame does not teach floating element being between the upper face and the bottom face of the frame. Brinkley teaches a medium and collecting net having a net and floating elements (40) along the edge of the net between an upper and bottom face (fig. 7, 8, col. 9, lines 40-54). Addition of a floatation material is known in the art to attach to net

Art Unit: 1797

material as shown in both prior art references. Brinkley teaches a known technique of sandwiching the floating material between two surfaces on the inside of the net bag. Because the particular technique is known in the art is recognized as part of the ordinary capabilities of one skilled in the art and the application of the technique as taught by Brinkley would yield predictable results in that the net bag would float. The claim is considered to be obvious over the prior art (KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 82 USPQ2d 1385 (2007)).

Regarding claim 2, Rink further teaches the net is made of other suitable materials such as nylon (col. 6, lines 51-60); and the floating elements are distributed around all the edges of the net (fig. 2, col. 6, lines 5-11).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BENJAMIN KURTZ whose telephone number is (571)272-8211. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Sample can be reached on 571-272-1376. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Benjamin Kurtz Examiner Art Unit 1797

2/1/08 /BK/

/Krishnan S Menon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797